



Muhammad Alif K. Sahide <alif.mksr@gmail.com>

Successfully received: submission Prophets and profits in Indonesia's social forestry partnership schemes: Introducing a sequential power analysis to examine equity for Forest Policy and Economics

1 pesan

Forest Policy and Economics <Evisesupport@elsevier.com>

28 Mei 2019 01.16

Balas Ke: sarah.burns@forst.uni-goettingen.de

Kepada: alif.mksr@gmail.com

This message was sent automatically.

Ref: FORPOL_2019_252

Title: Prophets and profits in Indonesia's social forestry partnership schemes: Introducing a sequential power analysis to examine equity

Journal: Forest Policy and Economics

Dear Dr. Sahide,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript for consideration for publication in Forest Policy and Economics. Your submission was received in good order.

To track the status of your manuscript, please log into EVISE® at: http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jsp?JRNL_ACR=FORPOL and locate your submission under the header 'My Submissions with Journal' on your 'My Author Tasks' view.

Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

Kind regards,

Forest Policy and Economics

Have questions or need assistance?

For further assistance, please visit our [Customer Support](#) site. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about EVISE® via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

Copyright © 2018 Elsevier B.V. | [Privacy Policy](#)

Elsevier [B.V.](#), [Radarweg 29, 1043 NX Amsterdam, The Netherlands](#), Reg. No. 33156677.



Muhammad Alif K. Sahide <alif.mksr@gmail.com>

Revision requested for FORPOL_2019_252

8 pesan

Lukas Giessen (Forest Policy and Economics) <EvisSupport@elsevier.com>

8 Agustus 2019 04.29

Balas Ke: lgiesse@uni-goettingen.de

Kepada: alif.mksr@gmail.com

Ref: FORPOL_2019_252

Title: Prophets and profits in Indonesia's social forestry partnership schemes: Introducing a sequential power analysis to examine equity

Journal: Forest Policy and Economics

Dear Dr. Sahide,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Forest Policy and Economics. I have completed the review of your manuscript and a summary is appended below. The editorial board recommend reconsideration of your paper following major revision. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing all reviewer comments.

When resubmitting your manuscript, please carefully consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments, outline every change made point by point, and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed.

To submit your revised manuscript:

- Log into EVISE® at: http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jsp?JRNL_ACR=FORPOL
- Locate your manuscript under the header 'My Submissions that need Revisions' on your 'My Author Tasks' view
- Click on 'Agree to Revise'
- Make the required edits
- Click on 'Complete Submission' to approve

What happens next?

After you approve your submission preview you will receive a notification that the submission is complete. To track the status of your paper throughout the editorial process, log in to Evise® at: http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jsp?JRNL_ACR=FORPOL.

Enrich your article to present your research with maximum impact. This journal supports the following [Content Innovations](#):

Data in Brief (optional)

We invite you to convert your supplementary data (or a part of it) into a Data in Brief article. Data in Brief articles are descriptions of the data and associated metadata which are normally buried in supplementary material. They are actively reviewed, curated, formatted, indexed, given a DOI and freely available to all upon publication. Data in Brief should be uploaded with your revised manuscript directly to Forest Policy and Economics. If your Forest Policy and Economics research article is accepted, your Data in Brief article will automatically be transferred over to our new, fully Open Access journal, Data in Brief, where it will be editorially reviewed and published as a separate data article upon acceptance. The Open Access fee for Data in Brief is \$500.

Please just fill in the template found here:

http://www.elsevier.com/inca/publications/misc/dib_data%20article%20template_for%20other%20journals.docx. Then, place all Data in Brief files (whichever supplementary files you would like to include as well as your completed Data in Brief template) into a .zip file and upload this as a Data in Brief item alongside your Forest Policy and Economics revised manuscript. Note that only this Data in Brief item will be transferred over to Data in Brief, so ensure all of your relevant Data in Brief documents are zipped into a single file. Also, make sure you change references to supplementary material in your Forest Policy and Economics manuscript to reference the Data in Brief article where appropriate.

Questions? Please send your inquiries to dib@elsevier.com. Example Data in Brief can be found here:

<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/23523409>

I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript as soon as possible.

Kind regards,

Dr Giessen
Editor-in-Chief
Forest Policy and Economics

Comments from the editors and reviewers:

-Editor

-

According to the study of prophets and profits in Indonesia' social forestry partnership schemes in terms of theory of Actor-centred Power, this paper provided a specific vision on forestry governance and it also has a interesting contribution to further research on users' roles and power in developing countries. However, it should be improved from key issues below:

1. Abstract should be improved: start with an introduction (international background/context) followed by the research argument, main aim, adopted methodological approach, main findings/results and finally the conclusions.
2. Please make sure that Introduction clearly delimit the scope of the main subject, provide an overview background on the covered research topic and quickly move to setting the argument/context and the goal of the research. Furthermore, the research argument must state the need and value added for this research.
3. The discussion is generally poorly explored. This section should be redesigned in order to explore and discuss the main findings with other international similar works.
4. Language should be edited by Native English Experts - with big efforts!

-Reviewer 1

-

This paper is an innovative empirical article and has made a good contribution to both the expansion of Actor-centred Power theory and social forestry partnership schemes research. And my suggestion about this article focuses on two aspects: (1) as a general analytical framework how SPF could be more inclusive and strengthen dialogue with relevant theories; (2) based on comparative case studies, how this paper could further expand the mechanistic explanation.

Suggestion 1: Introduction. "On the one hand, there are mechanisms for removing land from national forests under individual or indigenous land rights". I can't really understand this sentence. What is the meaning of "removing land" in this sentence? Maybe you can explain more or change the way of elaboration.

Suggestion 2: Introduction. The term "power reinforcement" may be appropriate in these cases about Indonesia's social forestry partnership schemes, however, it's not very suitable for SPA theory as a general framework because of its directivity. Maybe it can be changed to "power adjustment".

Suggestion 3: Table 1. Sequential Power Analysis (SPA) heuristic. According to ACP theory, the institutional factors don't exist in the form of independent power, but rather the source of actor's power. Considering on the connection between ACP theory and SPA theory, the actor's interest and power resource should be emphasized on the definition of three phases.

According to my understanding, the introduction of new programs or initiatives, as changing institutional rules, put an impact on access of actor, actor's interest and power resources in the second phase of "power delivery", which influences the power relations in the third phase of "Power reinforcement".

Suggestion 4: Table 2: Protocol on collecting data for SPA. In this table, this article only emphasizes market-driven power, but in the following, this article refers to the binary variables of regulatory and market-driven power. It is recommended to add regulatory power to this form as well.

Suggestion 5: Figure 1. The forest partnership policy evolution in Indonesia. Some time points and words are overlapped in this figure. Since different kinds of policies have been marked by color, some policies of Partnerships in SFC Java could be moved below the timeline.

Suggestion 6: The title forms of 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 are inconsistent. Please unify the format.

Suggestion 7: The title of power reinforcement in Case 4 is incomplete. Please complete.

Suggestion 8: Conclusion. I think the linkage between case studies and power theory should be strengthened in this part. Based on power theory, an additional discussion may be added in this chapter. The institution of benefit-sharing will eventually be influenced by the original power relations, and more benefits will be obtained by more powerful actors.

Suggestion 9: Conclusion. Through the comparison of case characteristics, this article may be able to get a more meaningful conclusion. Especially, the different roles and features of prophets in varying cases put influence on power relations in the phase of power reinforcement in different ways.

Suggestion 10: Serial number correction is attached with the attachment.

Suggestion 11: Grammar correction is attached with the attachment.

-Reviewer 2

-

This paper highlights a neglected issue in Indonesia forestry governance. It is true that forest partnership, a sub-program of Social Forestry, get limited attention from academics, forest practitioners, and bureaucrats, compared to customary forest and private forest. The reason for that, is, stakeholders involved partnership forest seemed have no significant problems like those in the two mentioned others.

This paper may open up the eyes of broader observers that there was oppression or injustice practice in forest partnership, which also important to advocate for.

The authors of this paper are prominent scientists, and acknowledged have long experience in social forestry program. I have no objection to what they argue, except the use of "prophet" term. This seems to be the way to sell the idea and to attract broader readership.

MethodsX (optional)

We invite you to submit a method article alongside your research article. This is an opportunity to get full credit for the time and money you have spent on developing research methods, and to increase the visibility and impact of your work.

If your research article is accepted, your method article will be automatically transferred over to the open access journal, MethodsX, where it will be editorially reviewed and published as a separate method article upon acceptance. Both articles will be linked on ScienceDirect.

Please use the MethodsX template available here when preparing your article: <https://www.elsevier.com/MethodsX-template>. Open access fees apply.

Have questions or need assistance?

For further assistance, please visit our [Customer Support](#) site. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about EVISE® via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

Copyright © 2018 Elsevier B.V. | [Privacy Policy](#)

Elsevier [B.V.](#), [Radarweg 29, 1043 NX Amsterdam, The Netherlands](#), Reg. No. 33156677.

Muhammad Alif K. Sahide <alif.mksr@gmail.com>

8 Agustus 2019 04.56

Kepada: Micah Fisher <micahrf@hawaii.edu>, Ahmad Maryudi <amutilities76@gmail.com>, Grace Wong <grace.wong@su.se>, Yubelin Runtuboi <yrun tuboi@gmail.com>, andita.aulia.p@ugm.ac.id, Supratman Suyuti <supratman.unhas@gmail.com>, yusran <yusranforest@yahoo.com>, Bart Verheijen <L.J.Verheijen@gmail.com>, Yeon-Su Kim <Yeon-Su.Kim@nau.edu>

Dear Authors,

We already received comments from the reviewers of our manuscript "Prophets and profits in Indonesia's social forestry partnership schemes: Introducing a sequential power analysis to examine equity".

I am pleased to forward it, please see in the email attach below. It will be good if you could share your input by 20 August 2019.

Best regards,
Alif

Muhammad Alif K. Sahide
Associate Professor at Forestry Department of Universitas Hasanuddin

Editor in Chief, Forest and Society
<http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fs>

Google Scholar:
<https://scholar.google.de/citations?user=o884t9QAAAAJ&hl=id>

Begin forwarded message:

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Muhammad Alif K. Sahide <alif.mksr@gmail.com>
Kepada: "Giessen, Lukas" <lgiesse@uni-goettingen.de>

8 Agustus 2019 06.42

Dear Lukas

Thank you very much for your email. The editor and reviewers's comments is very good and helpful. The reviewer one said that he/she has serial number and grammatical correction attached in the file. Would you please to share or forward the file.

Thank you very much

Best regards
Alif

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

--

Dr. forest. Muhammad Alif K. Sahide
Editor-in-Chief, Forest and Society

Forestry Faculty, Hasanuddin University
Jalan Perintis Kemerdekaan KM X,
Makassar, 90245, Indonesia

Grace Wong <grace.wong@su.se>

8 Agustus 2019 16.16

Kepada: "Muhammad Alif K. Sahide" <alif.mksr@gmail.com>, Micah Fisher <micahrf@hawaii.edu>, Ahmad Maryudi <amutilities76@gmail.com>, Yubelin Runtuboi <yrun tuboi@gmail.com>, "andita.aulia.p@ugm.ac.id" <andita.aulia.p@ugm.ac.id>, Supratman Suyuti <supratman.unhas@gmail.com>, yusran <yusranforest@yahoo.com>, Bart Verheijen <L.J.Verheijen@gmail.com>, Yeon-Su Kim <Yeon-Su.Kim@nau.edu>

Dear Alif,

This is good news, there is certainly some work to be done but I think these are very do-able. Following comments of Editor and Reviewer 1, the discussion and conclusions sections will require some stronger arguments. Reviewer 2 seems to already like the paper as it is!

Will you put the version that was submitted on google doc?

Thanks, best,

Grace

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Muhammad Alif K. Sahide <alif.mksr@gmail.com>

9 Agustus 2019 04.57

Kepada: Grace Wong <grace.wong@su.se>

Cc: Micah Fisher <micahrf@hawaii.edu>, Ahmad Maryudi <amutilities76@gmail.com>, Yubelin Runtuboi <yruntbody@gmail.com>, "andita.aulia.p@ugm.ac.id" <andita.aulia.p@ugm.ac.id>, Supratman Suyuti <supratman.unhas@gmail.com>, yusran <yusranforest@yahoo.com>, Bart Verheijen <L.J.Verheijen@gmail.com>, Yeon-Su Kim <Yeon-Su.Kim@nau.edu>

Dear Grace

Thank you very much for your email. I have put it in the google docs and invite all authors to edit

This is the link <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1IHxgf2cNFObpoF8Jje7MTbzh8wFKPsJ4kEkxrguRmKM/edit#>

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Grace Wong <grace.wong@su.se>

5 September 2019 20.55

Kepada: "Muhammad Alif K. Sahide" <alif.mksr@gmail.com>

Dear Alif,

Hope you are well! I am so sorry that I let this slip and it is now way past your deadline to contribute – I was traveling to Malaysia and Japan, and working on proposals over the last 3 weeks.

Have you managed to re-submit the revised paper already?

All best wishes,

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Universal <alif.mksr@gmail.com>

5 September 2019 21.25

Kepada: Grace Wong <grace.wong@su.se>

Dear Grace,

Thank you for your email. I have successfully resubmit the paper. Please find the revise version of the paper. Let's pray for the best

Brest regards,
Alif

On 5 Sep 2019, at 20.55, Grace Wong <grace.wong@su.se> wrote:

Dear Alif,

Hope you are well! I am so sorry that I let this slip and it is now way past your deadline to contribute – I was traveling to Malaysia and Japan, and working on proposals over the last 3 weeks.

Have you managed to re-submit the revised paper already?

All best wishes,
Grace

From: Muhammad Alif K. Sahide [mailto:alif.mksr@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 8, 2019 10:58 PM
To: Grace Wong <grace.wong@su.se>
Cc: Micah Fisher <micahrf@hawaii.edu>; Ahmad Maryudi <amutilities76@gmail.com>; Yubelin Runtuboi <yrun tuboi@gmail.com>; andita.aulia.p@ugm.ac.id; Supratman Suyuti <supratman.unhas@gmail.com>; yusran <yusranforest@yahoo.com>; Bart Verheijen <L.J.Verheijen@gmail.com>; Yeon-Su Kim <Yeon-Su.Kim@nau.edu>
Subject: Re: Revision requested for FORPOL_2019_252

Dear Grace

Thank you very much for your email. I have put it in the google docs and invite all authors to edit

This is the link <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1IHxgf2cNF0bpoF8Jje7MTbzh8wFKPsJ4kEkxrguRmKM/edit#>

Best regards,
Alif

Muhammad Alif K. Sahide
Associate Professor at Forestry Department of Universitas Hasanuddin

Editor in Chief, Forest and Society
<http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fs>

Google Scholar:
<https://scholar.google.de/citations?user=o884t9QAAAAJ&hl=id>

On 8 Aug 2019, at 16.16, Grace Wong <grace.wong@su.se> wrote:

Dear Alif,

This is good news, there is certainly some work to be done but I think these are very do-able. Following comments of Editor and Reviewer 1, the discussion and conclusions sections will require some stronger arguments. Reviewer 2 seems to already like the paper as it is!

Will you put the version that was submitted on google doc?

Thanks, best,
Grace

From: Muhammad Alif K. Sahide [<mailto:alif.mksr@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 7, 2019 10:57 PM
To: Micah Fisher <micahrf@hawaii.edu>; Ahmad Maryudi <amutilities76@gmail.com>; Grace Wong <grace.wong@su.se>; Yubelin Runtuboi <yrun tuboi@gmail.com>; andita.aulia.p@ugm.ac.id; Supratman Suyuti <supratman.unhas@gmail.com>; yusran <yusranforest@yahoo.com>; Bart Verheijen <L.J.Verheijen@gmail.com>; Yeon-Su Kim <Yeon-Su.Kim@nau.edu>
Subject: Fwd: Revision requested for FORPOL_2019_252

Dear Authors,

We already received comments from the reviewers of our manuscript "Prophets and profits in Indonesia's social forestry partnership schemes: Introducing a sequential power analysis to examine equity".

I am pleased to forward it, please see in the email attach below. It will be good if you could share your input by 20 August 2019.

Best regards,
Alif

Muhammad Alif K. Sahide
Associate Professor at Forestry Department of Universitas Hasanuddin

Editor in Chief, Forest and Society
<http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fs>

Google Scholar:
<https://scholar.google.de/citations?user=o884t9QAAAAJ&hl=id>

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Lukas Giessen (Forest Policy and Economics)" <Evisesupport@elsevier.com>
Subject: Revision requested for FORPOL_2019_252
Date: 8 August 2019 04.29.32 GMT+8
To: alif.mksr@gmail.com
Reply-To: lgjesse@uni-goettingen.de

Ref: FORPOL_2019_252
Title: Prophets and profits in Indonesia's social forestry partnership schemes: Introducing a sequential power analysis to examine equity
Journal: Forest Policy and Economics

Dear Dr. Sahide,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Forest Policy and Economics. I have completed the review of your manuscript and a summary is appended below. The editorial board recommend reconsideration of your paper following major revision. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing all reviewer comments. When resubmitting your manuscript, please carefully consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments, outline every change made point by point, and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed.

To submit your revised manuscript:

- Log into EVISE® at: http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jsp?JRNL_ACR=FORPOL
- Locate your manuscript under the header 'My Submissions that need Revisions' on your 'My Author Tasks' view
- Click on 'Agree to Revise'
- Make the required edits
- Click on 'Complete Submission' to approve

What happens next?

After you approve your submission preview you will receive a notification that the submission is complete. To track the status of your paper throughout the editorial process, log in to

Evise® at: http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jsp?JRNL_ACR=FORPOL.

Enrich your article to present your research with maximum impact. This journal supports the following [Content Innovations](#):
Data in Brief (optional)

We invite you to convert your supplementary data (or a part of it) into a Data in Brief article. Data in Brief articles are descriptions of the data and associated metadata which are normally buried in supplementary material. They are actively reviewed, curated, formatted, indexed, given a DOI and freely available to all upon publication. Data in Brief should be uploaded with your revised manuscript directly to Forest Policy and Economics. If your Forest Policy and Economics research article is accepted, your Data in Brief article will automatically be transferred over to our new, fully Open Access journal, Data in Brief, where it will be editorially reviewed and published as a separate data article upon acceptance. The Open Access fee for Data in Brief is \$500.

Please just fill in the template found here:

http://www.elsevier.com/inca/publications/misc/dib_data%20article%20template_for%20other%20journals.docx. Then, place all Data in Brief files (whichever supplementary files you would like to include as well as your completed Data in Brief template) into a .zip file and upload this as a Data in Brief item alongside your Forest Policy and Economics revised manuscript. Note that only this Data in Brief item will be transferred over to Data in Brief, so ensure all of your relevant Data in Brief documents are zipped into a single file. Also, make sure you change references to supplementary material in your

Forest Policy and Economics manuscript to reference the Data in Brief article where appropriate.

Questions? Please send your inquiries to dib@elsevier.com. Example Data in Brief can be found here:

<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/23523409>

I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript as soon as possible.

Kind regards,

Dr Giessen

Editor-in-Chief

Forest Policy and Economics

Comments from the editors and reviewers:

-Editor

-

According to the study of prophets and profits in Indonesia' social forestry partnership schemes in terms of theory of Actor-centred Power, this paper provided a specific vision on forestry governance and it also has a interesting contribution to further research on users' roles and power in developing countries.

However, it should be improved from key issues below:

1. Abstract should be improved: start with an introduction (international background/context) followed by the research argument, main aim, adopted methodological approach, main findings/results and finally the conclusions.
2. Please make sure that Introduction clearly delimit the scope of the main subject, provide an overview background on the covered research topic and quickly move to setting the argument/context and the goal of the research. Furthermore, the research argument must state the need and value added for this research.
3. The discussion is generally poorly explored. This section should be redesigned in order to explore and discuss the main findings with other international similar works.
4. Language should be edited by Native English Experts - with big efforts!

-Reviewer 1

-

This paper is an innovative empirical article and has made a good contribution to both the expansion of Actor-centred Power theory and social forestry partnership schemes research. And my suggestion about this article focuses on two aspects: (1) as a general analytical framework how SPF could be more inclusive and strengthen dialogue with relevant theories; (2) based on comparative case studies, how this paper could further expand the mechanistic explanation.

Suggestion 1: Introduction. "On the one hand, there are mechanisms for removing land from national forests under individual or indigenous land rights". I can't really understand this sentence. What is the

meaning of “removing land” in this sentence? Maybe you can explain more or change the way of elaboration.

Suggestion 2: Introduction. The term “power reinforcement” may be appropriate in these cases about Indonesia’s social forestry partnership schemes, however, it’s not very suitable for SPA theory as a general framework because of its directivity. Maybe it can be changed to “power adjustment”.

Suggestion 3: Table 1. Sequential Power Analysis (SPA) heuristic. According to ACP theory, the institutional factors don’t exist in the form of independent power, but rather the source of actor’s power. Considering on the connection between ACP theory and SPA theory, the actor’s interest and power resource should be emphasized on the definition of three phases.

According to my understanding, the introduction of new programs or initiatives, as changing institutional rules, put an impact on access of actor, actor’s interest and power resources in the second phase of “power delivery”, which influences the power relations in the third phase of “Power reinforcement”.

Suggestion 4: Table 2: Protocol on collecting data for SPA. In this table, this article only emphasizes market-driven power, but in the following, this article refers to the binary variables of regulatory and market-driven power. It is recommended to add regulatory power to this form as well.

Suggestion 5: Figure 1. The forest partnership policy evolution in Indonesia. Some time points and words are overlapped in this figure. Since different kinds of policies have been marked by color, some policies of Partnerships in SFC Java could be moved below the timeline.

Suggestion 6: The title forms of 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 are inconsistent. Please unify the format.

Suggestion 7: The title of power reinforcement in Case 4 is incomplete. Please complete.

Suggestion 8: Conclusion. I think the linkage between case studies and power theory should be strengthened in this part. Based on power theory, an additional discussion may be added in this chapter. The institution of benefit-sharing will eventually be influenced by the original power relations, and more benefits will be obtained by more powerful actors.

Suggestion 9: Conclusion. Through the comparison of case characteristics, this article may be able to get a more meaningful conclusion. Especially, the different roles and features of prophets in varying cases put influence on power relations in the phase of power reinforcement in different ways.

Suggestion 10: Serial number correction is attached with the attachment.

Suggestion 11: Grammar correction is attached with the attachment.

-Reviewer 2

-

This paper highlights a neglected issue in Indonesia forestry governance. It is true that forest partnership, a sub-program of Social

Forestry, get limited attention from academics, forest practitioners, and bureaucrats, compared to customary forest and private forest. The reason for that, is, stakeholders involved partnership forest seemed have no significant problems like those in the two mentioned others.

This paper may open up the eyes of broader observers that there was oppression or injustice practice in forest partnership, which also important to advocate for.

The authors of this paper are prominent scientists, and acknowledged have long experience in social forestry program. I have no objection to what they argue, except the use of “prophet” term. This seems to be the way to sell the idea and to attract broader readership.

MethodsX (optional)

We invite you to submit a method article alongside your research article. This is an opportunity to get full credit for the time and money you have spent on developing research methods, and to increase the visibility and impact of your work.


If your research article is accepted, your method article will be automatically transferred over to the open access journal, MethodsX, where it will be editorially reviewed and published as a separate method article upon acceptance. Both articles will be linked on ScienceDirect.

Please use the MethodsX template available here when preparing your article: <https://www.elsevier.com/MethodsX-template>. Open access fees apply.

Have questions or need assistance?

For further assistance, please visit our [Customer Support](#) site. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about EVISE® via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

Copyright © 2018 Elsevier B.V. | [Privacy Policy](#)
Elsevier B.V., Radarweg 29, 1043 NX Amsterdam, The Netherlands,
Reg. No. 33156677.

 **FORPOL_2019_252_Revision 1_V0.pdf**
1647K

Grace Wong <grace.wong@su.se>
Kepada: Universal <alif.mksr@gmail.com>

5 September 2019 22.15

Great, thanks for the hard work! And sorry again for not contributing.

Best wishes,

Grace

From: Universal [mailto:alif.mksr@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 5, 2019 3:25 PM
To: Grace Wong <grace.wong@su.se>
Subject: Re: Revision requested for FORPOL_2019_252

Dear Grace,

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

On 5 Sep 2019, at 20.55, Grace Wong <grace.wong@su.se> wrote:

Dear Alif,

Hope you are well! I am so sorry that I let this slip and it is now way past your deadline to contribute – I was traveling to Malaysia and Japan, and working on proposals over the last 3 weeks.

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Response to editor and reviewers' comments:

Comments	Response
<p>Ed:According to the study of prophets and profits in Indonesia' social forestry partnership schemes in terms of theory of Actor-centred Power, this paper provided a specific vision on forestry governance and it also has a interesting contribution to further research on users' roles and power in developing countries. However, it should be improved from key issues below: 1.Abstract should be improved:start with an introduction (international background/context) followed by the research argument, main aim, adopted methodological approach, main findings/results and finally the conclusions.</p>	<p>We appreciate very much for the comments provided by editor and reviewers. We have adjusted the abstract accordingly by following the suggested outline of editors proposed abstract. We feel that we are now more able to effectively communicate the key findings and broader context of our research.</p>
<p>Ed: 2.Please make sure that Introduction clearly delimit the scope of the main subject, provide an overview background on the covered research topic and quickly move to setting the argument/context and the goal of the research. Furthermore, the research argument must state the need and value added for this research.</p>	<p>We have significantly redacted and streamlined the introduction. In the current version we strengthen the case for our SPA and also highlight the clear connections with partnership schemes in Indonesia</p>
<p>Ed:3.The discussion is generally poorly explored. This section should be redesigned in order to explore and discuss the main findings with other international similar works.</p>	<p>We have created a distinct new section for the discussion. Many of these findings were already contextualized in the conclusion, which we now recognize was a combination of the discussion and conclusion section. In the current version the discussion session now includes a specific section comparing the findings of international literature (particularly on ACP), while still contextualizing the way in which the cases compare across the results.</p>
<p>Ed4.Language should be edited by Native English Experts - with big efforts!</p>	<p>Thank you - we have enlisted a native speaker and they have made a big effort to edit the language of the paper.</p>

<p>Rev#1: This paper is an innovative empirical article and has made a good contribution to both the expansion of Actor-centred Power theory and social forestry partnership schemes research. And my suggestion about this article focuses on two aspects: (1) as a general analytical framework how SPF could be more inclusive and strengthen dialogue with relevant theories; (2) based on comparative case studies, how this paper could further expand the mechanistic explanation.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. We also believe that our paper provides a strong contribution to research on ACP theory, and also provides timely empirical findings on partnership schemes. We are unsure what the reviewer means by “mechanistic” explanation. However, we have reframed the introduction and the discussion section to highlight the applicability of the paper to other contexts.</p>
<p>Rev#1: Suggestion 1: Introduction. “On the one hand, there are mechanisms for removing land from national forests under individual or indigenous land rights”. I can’t really understand this sentence. What is the meaning of “removing land” in this sentence? Maybe you can explain more or change the way of elaboration.</p>	<p>We recognize that this sentence might not make sense for non-indonesianists, in which land conflicts are often framed in terms of the dual land system of forests and non-forests, as well as the recent agrarian reform push to “remove” land from state forests. However, we recognize that the paper should be for a wider audience and have therefore taken this sentence out.</p>
<p>Rev#1: Suggestion 2: Introduction. The term “power reinforcement” may be appropriate in these cases about Indonesia’s social forestry partnership schemes, however, it’s not very suitable for SPA theory as a general framework because of its directivity. Maybe it can be changed to “power adjustment”.</p>	<p>Yes, thank you for this helpful distinction. We agree with the reviewer to change the term power reinforcement to power adjustment.</p>
<p>Rev#1: Suggestion 3: Table 1. Sequential Power Analysis (SPA) heuristic. According to ACP theory, the institutional factors don’t exist in the form of independent power, but rather the source of actor’s power. Considering on the connection between ACP theory and SPA theory, the actor’s interest and power resource should be emphasized on the definition of three phases. According to my understanding, the introduction of new programs or initiatives, as changing institutional rules, put an impact on access of actor, actor’s interest and power resources in the second phase of</p>	<p>This is a really excellent comment. Thank you for helping us to better clarify the way our heuristic relates to ACP. We have updated the table 1 to highlight where ACP analysis is situated (in the power delivery phase), which is focused on the particular project. However, we have shown how our heuristic includes the pre-existing conditions and ways for seeing them, as well as the post-delivery</p>

<p>“power delivery”, which influences the power relations in the third phase of “Power reinforcement”.</p>	<p>conditions in which power adjustment takes place.</p>
<p>Rev#1: Suggestion 4: Table 2: Protocol on collecting data for SPA. In this table, this article only emphasizes market-driven power, but in the following, this article refers to the binary variables of regulatory and market-driven power. It is recommended to add regulatory power to this form as well.</p>	<p>We had not intended for our table to be focused on market driven power alone. We have now included the necessary language to be more explicit about the regulatory dimensions.</p>
<p>Rev#1: Suggestion 5: Figure 1. The forest partnership policy evolution in Indonesia. Some time points and words are overlapped in this figure. Since different kinds of policies have been marked by color, some policies of Partnerships in SFC Java could be moved below the timeline.</p>	<p>We have updated the figure accordingly to highlight these distinctions related to SFC.</p>
<p>Rev#1: Suggestion 6: The title forms of 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 are inconsistent. Please unify the format.</p>	<p>We have updated the title forms to be consistent and accurate</p>
<p>Rev#1: Suggestion 7: The title of power reinforcement in Case 4 is incomplete. Please complete.</p>	<p>We have changed this and changed the title to power adjustment</p>
<p>Rev#1: Suggestion 8&#xff1a;Conclusion. I think the linkage between case studies and power theory should be strengthened in this part. Based on power theory, an additional discussion may be added in this chapter. The institution of benefit-sharing will eventually be influenced by the original power relations, and more benefits will be obtained by more powerful actors.</p>	<p>See our comment above on the new discussion section. We have created a distinct discussion section that has a more theoretical engagement that then ties to the results of each of the cases. Our new conclusion section is also much shorter and direct in what we present.</p>
<p>Rev#1: Suggestion 9: Conclusion. Through the comparison of case characteristics, this article may be able to get a more meaningful conclusion. Especially, the different roles and features of prophets in varying cases put influence on power relations in the phase of power reinforcement in different ways.</p>	<p>This is an excellent suggestion. As we have mentioned, the discussion now includes a distinct analytical section. However, we have also restructured the conclusion to include the broader significance of this research and its future policy applications.</p>
<p>Rev#1:Suggestion 10: Serial number correction is attached with the attachment.</p>	<p>Ok thank you very much, unfortunately, we could not see</p>

<p>Suggestion 11: Grammar correction is attached with the attachment.</p>	<p>the attachment both in the email and in the system</p>
<p>Rev#2: This paper highlights a neglected issue in Indonesia forestry governance. It is true that forest partnership, a sub-program of Social Forestry, get limited attention from academics, forest practitioners, and bureaucrats, compared to customary forest and private forest. The reason for that, is, stakeholders involved partnership forest seemed have no significant problems like those in the two mentioned others.</p> <p>This paper may open up the eyes of broader observers that there was oppression or injustice practice in forest partnership, which also important to advocate for.</p>	<p>Thank you for your support that this is a timely paper and that our framing of the issue helps to bring greater understanding about an important topic not just for Indonesia, but we hope that the theoretical framings can also be applicable elsewhere.</p>
<p>Rev#2: The authors of this paper are prominent scientists, and acknowledged have long experience in social forestry program. I have no objection to what they argue, except the use of “prophet” term. This seems to be the way to sell the idea and to attract broader readership.</p>	<p>Thank you for this comment and we appreciate this consideration, especially as “prophets” tend to have religious connotations. We discussed this as a research team and tried to re-evaluate why we initially settled on this terminology. The reason is that we believe in the idea of social forestry in Indonesia are based on messengers who bring an idea intended to inspire that may not have been possible or would have been able to be articulated previously. Therefore, we have decided that indeed these messengers bring an idea and promise of equity and a better future, but in the end are powerless in the face of profits.</p>



Muhammad Alif K. Sahide <alif.mksr@gmail.com>

Your manuscript FORPOL_2019_252_R1 has been accepted

5 pesan

Lukas Giessen (Forest Policy and Economics) <EvisSupport@elsevier.com>

25 Maret 2020 15.25

Balas Ke: lgiesse@uni-goettingen.de

Kepada: alif.mksr@gmail.com

Ref: FORPOL_2019_252_R1

Title: Prophets and profits in Indonesia's social forestry partnership schemes: Introducing a sequential power analysis

Journal: Forest Policy and Economics

Dear Dr. Sahide,

I am pleased to inform you that your paper has been accepted for publication. My own comments as well as any reviewer comments are appended to the end of this letter.

Your accepted manuscript will now be transferred to our production department. We will create a proof which you will be asked to check. You can read more about this [here](#). Meanwhile, you will be asked to complete a number of online forms required for publication. If we need additional information from you during the production process, we will contact.

Thank you for submitting your work to Forest Policy and Economics. We hope you consider us again for future submissions.

Kind regards,

Lukas Giessen
Editor-in-Chief
Forest Policy and Economics

Comments from the editors and reviewers:**- Editor**

-

- Reviewer 1

- Thanks for your responding and the adjustment of your manuscript, especially the chapters of methodology and discussion. The paper makes breakthrough in the both theoretical framework and actors' features. And glad to find the improvements of the English writing and article structure.

Have questions or need assistance?

For further assistance, please visit our [Customer Support](#) site. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about EVISE® via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

Copyright © 2018 Elsevier B.V. | [Privacy Policy](#)

Elsevier [B.V.](#), [Radarweg 29, 1043 NX Amsterdam, The Netherlands](#), Reg. No. 33156677.

Muhammad Alif K. Sahide <alif.mksr@gmail.com>

28 Maret 2020 03.10

Kepada: Yeon-Su Kim <Yeon-Su.Kim@nau.edu>

Dear Ibu Yeonsu

Given the global situation around COVID-19, I hope you are safe with your family and doing good.

Probably you have been notified that our paper on the Kemitraan social forestry power assessment is accepted in FORPOL. Thank you very much for your collaboration and I am Looking forward to working with you again.

Alif

Begin forwarded message:

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Yeon-Su Kim <Yeon-Su.Kim@nau.edu>
Kepada: "Muhammad Alif K. Sahide" <alif.mksr@gmail.com>

28 Maret 2020 03.55

Thanks pak Alif.

Yes, it is a very strange time we are living through. My kids' school is closed for at least one month, and we are asked to teach all college classes online. But I am blessed with relatively secure job and loving family, and friends like you!

Thanks again for including me in the publication team. I really do not feel that I deserve the credit!

I really miss going to Makassar, and hope my traveling days are not over yet. If you have any chance to visit me (after this pandemic, of course), I would welcome a chance hosting you as a visiting scholar.

See you pak Alif. Stay safe and healthy.

Yeonsu

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Muhammad Alif K. Sahide <alif.mksr@gmail.com>
Kepada: Yeon-Su Kim <Yeon-Su.Kim@nau.edu>

28 Maret 2020 04.01

Dear Ibu Yeonsu

The good think is probably we can have more time to write at this moment ... he he he.. :)

Yes, I would like to visit you after pandemic, I think DIKTI has some offer for the visiting scholar program, I will find the information

See you Ibu. Stay safe

Alif

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Yeon-Su Kim <Yeon-Su.Kim@nau.edu>
Kepada: "Muhammad Alif K. Sahide" <alif.mksr@gmail.com>

31 Maret 2020 01.58

Great. Yes on more time to write! See you soon. Yeonsu

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]